

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,707	02/26/2002	Gregory G. Brucker	1001.2256101	1518	
	7590 01/11/201 SEAGER & TUFTE, I	EXAMINER			
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			TYSON, MELANIE RUANO		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/083,707		BRUCKER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MELANIE TYSON	3773		

	MELANIE TYSON	3773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 14.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	e period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if Checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F WE 07 OFD 44 07	Filed - Mile & Commission					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	E below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s) would be all							
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)       how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov     The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
((Instrin) Top I have T 11-1							
/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Melanie Tyson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant's upon the total condition of Marotta's balloon which so happens to be aligned with the moveable member is clearly not a "predetermined" region of the balloon. However, it is the examiner's position that Marotta's bulge region may be considered "predetermined" that the location of the portion aligned with the movable member will form a bulge region. Therefore, it is the examiner's position that Marotta teaches a predetermined bulge region at a predetermined a predetermined bulge region at a predetermined bulge region at a predetermined location as recited in the claims.